SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE DIRAC SYSTEM WITH A SINGULARITY IN AN INTERIOR POINT

O. Gorbunov, C-T. Shieh and V.Yurko

Abstract. We study the non-selfadjoint Dirac system on the line having an non-integrable regular singularity in an interior point with additional matching conditions at the singular point. Special fundamental systems of solutions are constructed with prescribed analytic and asymptotic properties. Behavior of the corresponding Stockes multipliers is established. These fundamental systems of solutions will be used for studying direct and inverse problems of spectral analysis.

Key words: differential systems, singularity, spectral analysis

AMS Classification: 34L40, 34A36 47E05

arXiv:1410.2020v1 [math.SP] 8 Oct 2014

1. Introduction. Consider the Dirac system on the line with a regular singularity at $x = 0$:

$$BY'(x) + \Big(Q_0(x) + Q(x)\Big)Y(x) = \lambda Y(x), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \tag{1}$$

where

$$Y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ Q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1(x) & q_2(x) \\ q_2(x) & -q_1(x) \end{pmatrix}, \ Q_0(x) = \frac{\mu}{x}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

here μ is a complex number, $q_j(x)$ are complex-valued absolutely continuous functions, and $q_j'(x) \in L(-\infty, +\infty)$.

In this paper special fundamental systems of solutions for system (1) are constructed with prescribed analytic and asymptotic properties. Behavior of the corresponding Stockes multipliers is established. These fundamental systems of solutions will be used for studying direct and inverse problems of spectral analysis by the contour integral method and by the method of spectral mappings [1]-[2]. These systems can be also used for studying boundary value problems on a finite interval and on the half-line.

Differential equations with singularities inside the interval play an important role in various areas of mathematics as well as in applications. Moreover, a wide class of differential equations with turning points can be reduced to equations with singularities. For example, such problems appear in electronics for constructing parameters of heterogeneous electronic lines with desirable technical characteristics [3]-[5]. Boundary value problems with discontinuities in an interior point appear in geophysical models for oscillations of the Earth [6]-[8]. Furthermore, direct and inverse spectral problems for equations with singularities and turning points are used for studying the blow-up behavior of solutions for some nonlinear integrable evolution equations in mathematical physics (see, for example, [9]). We also note that in different problems of natural sciences we face different kind of matching conditions in the interior point.

The case when a singular point lies at the endpoint of the interval was investigated fairly completely for various classes of differential equations in [10]-[14] and other works. The presence of singularity inside the interval produces essential qualitative modifications in the investigation (see [15]).

A few words on the structure of the paper. In section 2 we consider a model Dirac operator (see (2)) with the zero potential $Q(x) \equiv 0$ and without the spectral parameter. It is important that this system is studied in the complex x -plane. We construct fundamental matrices for the model system. Using analytic continuations and symmetry we calculate directly the Stockes multipliers for the model system. Then we consider the Dirac system on the real x -line with $Q(x) \equiv 0$ and with the complex spectral parameter (see (12)), and carry over our construction to this system. For this purpose we use a simple but important property: if $Y(x)$ is a solution of (2), then $Y(\lambda x)$ is a solution of (12). In section 3 by perturbation theory we construct special fundamental matrices for system (1) with necessary analytic and asymptotic properties.

In section 4 asymptotic properties of the Stokes multipliers for system (1) are established. Using these results we plan to study direct and inverse problems of spectral analysis for system (1) in a separate paper.

2. Model Dirac system in the complex x-plane. Let for definiteness, $Re\,\mu > 0$, $1/2 - \mu \notin \mathbf{N}$ (other cases require minor modifications). Consider the model Dirac system without spectral parameter in the complex x-plane:

$$BY'(x) + Q_0(x)Y(x) = Y(x). \tag{2}$$

Let $x = re^{i\varphi}, r > 0, \varphi \in (-\pi, \pi]$, $x^\xi = \exp(\xi(\ln r + i\varphi))$, and Π_- be the x-plane with the cut $x \le 0$. Let numbers c_{10}, c_{20} be such that $c_{10}c_{20} = 1$. Then equation (2) has the matrix solution

$$C(x) = \widehat{C}(x)H(x),$$

where

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\mu_1} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\mu_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{C}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2k} \begin{pmatrix} xc_{1,2k+1} & c_{2,2k} \\ -c_{1,2k} & xc_{2,2k+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_{j,2k} = (-1)^k \frac{c_{j0}}{2^k k! \prod_{s=0}^{k-1}(2\mu_j + 1 + 2s)}, \; c_{j,2k+1} = (-1)^k \frac{c_{j0}}{2^k k! \prod_{s=0}^{k}(2\mu_j + 1 + 2s)},$$

$\mu_j = (-1)^j \mu$, $j = 1, 2$. We agree that if a certain symbol denotes a matrix solution of the system, then the same symbol with one index denotes columns of the matrix, and this symbol with two indeces denotes entries, for example, $C(x) = \Big(C_1(x),\ C_2(x)\Big) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11}(x) & C_{12}(x) \\ C_{21}(x) & C_{22}(x) \end{pmatrix}$.

The functions $\widehat{C}_k(x), k = 1, 2$, are entire in x, and the functions $C_k(x), k = 1, 2$ are regular in Π_-. The functions $C_k(x), k = 1, 2$, form the fundamental system of solutions for (2), and $\det C(x) \equiv 1$.

Denote

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \; e^0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} ie^{ix} & -ie^{-ix} \\ e^{ix} & e^{-ix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $K^2 = J^2 = -B^2 = I$, $Q(x) = q_1(x)K + q_2(x)J$, $Q_0(x) = \dfrac{\mu}{x}J$, $\det e^0(x) \equiv 2i$,

$$\left(\left(I + \frac{a}{x}J\right)^{-1}\right)' = \left(I + \frac{a}{x}J\right)^{-2} \frac{a}{x^2}. \tag{3}$$

Note that the matrix $e^0(x)$ is a solution of the system $BY'(x) = Y(x)$.

The matix Jost-type solution $e(x)$ of system (2) is constructed from the following system of integral equations:

$$e(x) = \Big(I - \frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1} e^0(x) \left(I + \frac{1}{2}\int_x^\infty e^{0,-1}(x)\Big(Q_0'(t) + Q_0(t)BQ_0(t)\Big)e(t)\,dt\right), \tag{4}$$

where $e^{0,-1}(t) = (e^0(t))^{-1}$. Let us show that if $e(x)$ is a solution of equation (4), then $e(x)$ is a solution of system (2). Denote $D(t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{0,-1}(t)\Big(Q_0'(t) + Q_0(t)BQ_0(t)\Big)e(t)$. Then (4) takes the form $e(x) = \Big(I - \frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1} e^0(x) \left(I + \int_x^\infty D(t)\,dt\right)$, and consequently,

$$Be'(x) - e(x) = B\left(\left(I - \frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\right)^{-1}\right)' e^0(x) \left(I + \int_x^\infty D(t)\,dt\right)$$

$$+B\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}\big(e^0(x)\big)'\left(I+\int_x^\infty D(t)\,dt\right)+B\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}e^0(x)\Big(-D(x)\Big)-e(x).$$

Using (3) and the relation $B\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}=\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}B$, we obtain

$$Be'(x)-e(x)=\frac{1}{2}\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}BQ_0'(x)e(x)+\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)e(x)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}B\Big(Q_0'(x)+Q_0(x)BQ_0(x)\Big)e(x)-\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)e(x)$$

or

$$Be'(x)-e(x)=-\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)P_0(x)e(x)=-Q_0(x)e(x),$$

i.e. $e(x)$ is a solution of system (2).

Now we go on to the solvability of equation (4). Put $z_j(x)=e^{-R_jx}e_j(x)$, $z_j^0=e^{-R_jx}e_j^0(x)=(R_j,\,1)^T$, $j=1,2$, here $R_1=i$, $R_2=-i$, and T is the sign for the transposition. Since

$$\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)^{-1}=\frac{1}{d(x)}\Big(I+\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big),\ d(x):=\det\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}Q_0(x)\Big)=1-\frac{\mu^2}{4x^2},$$

then equation (4) takes the form

$$e(x)=\frac{1}{d(x)}\Big(I+\frac{\mu}{2x}J\Big)e^0(x)\left(I-\frac{1}{2}\int_x^\infty e^{0,-1}(t)(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu}{t^2}e(t)\,dt\right),$$

hence

$$z_j(x)=\frac{1}{d(x)}\Big(I+\frac{\mu}{2x}J\Big)\left(z_j^0-\frac{1}{2}\int_x^\infty g^j(x,t)(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu}{t^2}z_j(t)\,dt\right),\ j=1,2,\tag{5}$$

where $g^j(x,t)=e^0(x)e^{0,-1}(t)e^{R_j(t-x)}$, or

$$g^1(x,t)=\frac{1}{2i}(iI-B)+\frac{1}{2i}(iI+B)e^{2i(t-x)},\ g^2(x,t)=\frac{1}{2i}(iI-B)e^{-2i(t-x)}+\frac{1}{2i}(iI+B).\tag{6}$$

Theorem 1. *Equations (5) have analytic in* Π_- *solutions, and*
1) $|z_1(x)-z_1^0|\le C/|x|$ *for* $|x|\ge x_0$, $\arg x\in[-\pi+\delta_0,\,\pi]$,
2) $|z_2(x)-z_2^0|\le C/|x|$ *for* $|x|\ge x_0$, $\arg x\in[-\pi,\,\pi-\delta_0]$,
where the constant C *depends only on* x_0, δ_0, μ, *and* $x_0\sin\delta_0\ge 4\pi|\mu|\Big(1+|\mu|\Big)$.

Proof. In view of (6), the contour in (5) for $z_1(x)$ must be chosen such that $Im(t-x)\ge 0$, and for $z_2(x)$ such that $Im(t-x)\le 0$. We consider two cases.

1) We choose the contour such that $\arg t=\arg x$, $|t|\ge|x|$; then $Im(t-x)\ge 0$ for $Im\,x\ge 0$, and $Im(t-x)\le 0$ for $Im\,x\le 0$, i.e. $z_1(x)$ is considered for $Im\,x\ge 0$, and $z_2(x)$ – for $Im\,x\le 0$. Denote $A(x):=(d(x))^{-1}(I+\frac{\mu}{2x}J)$. Let $x=Re^{i\theta}$, $t=re^{i\theta}$, , then (5) takes the form

$$z_j(Re^{i\theta})=A(Re^{i\theta})\left(z_j^0-\frac{1}{2}\int_R^\infty g^j(Re^{i\theta},re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2}z_j(re^{i\theta})\,dr\right),\ j=1,2.\tag{7}$$

We solve (7) by the method of successive approximations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}&z_j(Re^{i\theta})=\sum_{k=0}^\infty(z_j)_k(Re^{i\theta}),\ \ (z_j)_0(Re^{i\theta})=A(Re^{i\theta})z_j^0,\\&(z_j)_{k+1}(Re^{i\theta})=-\frac{1}{2}A(Re^{i\theta})\int_R^\infty g^j(Re^{i\theta},re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2}(z_j)_k(re^{i\theta})\,dr,\ j=1,2.\end{aligned}\right\}\tag{8}$$

By induction we obtain $|(z_j)_k(Re^{i\theta})| \le 2^{k+2}(1+|\mu|)^k/k!$ for $|x| = R \ge |\mu|$. Therefore, the series in (8) converges uniformly for $|x| \ge \mu$ and $Im\, x \ge 0$, $Im\, x \le 0$ for $z_1(x)$ and $z_2(x)$, respectively, and $z_1(x)$ is analytic for $|x| > |\mu|$, $Im\, x > 0$, and $z_2(x)$ is analytic for $|x| > |\mu|$, $Im\, x < 0$; ; they are continuous in the closure of these domains. This alows one to deform the contour in (5) in the domain of analyticity. Moreover, one gets $|z_j(x)| \le C$ in the corresponding domain. Taking (7) into account we deduce

$$z_j(Re^{i\theta}) - z_j^0 = \Big(A(Re^{i\theta}) - I\Big)z_j^0 - \frac{1}{2}A(Re^{i\theta})\int_R^\infty g^j(Re^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2} z_j(re^{i\theta})\, dr.$$

Since $A(x) - I = A(x)(I - (I - \frac{\mu}{2x}J)) = A(x)\frac{\mu}{2x}J$, it follows that

$$z_j(Re^{i\theta}) - z_j^0 = \frac{1}{2}A(Re^{i\theta})\left(\frac{\mu}{R}e^{-i\theta}Jz_j^0 - \int_R^\infty g^j(Re^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2} z_j(re^{i\theta})\, dr\right),$$

and consequently,

$$|z_j(Re^{i\theta}) - z_j^0| \le \frac{1}{2}\cdot 2\Big(\frac{|\mu|}{|R|}\cdot 2 + |\mu|(1+|\mu|)\frac{C}{R}\Big) \quad \text{or} \quad |z_j(x) - z_j^0| \le \frac{C}{|x|}.$$

2) In (5) we take the contour $t = x + \xi$, $\xi \ge 0$, then $Im(t-x) = 0$, and (5) takes the form

$$z_j(x) = A(x)\left(z_j^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty g^j(0,\xi)(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu}{(x+\xi)^2} z_j(x+\xi)\, d\xi\right). \tag{9}$$

We solve (9) by the method of successive approximations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &z_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (z_j)_k(x), \text{ where } (z_j)_0(x) = A(x)z_j^0, \\ &(z_j)_{k+1}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}A(x)\int_0^\infty g^j(0,\xi)(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu}{(x+\xi)^2}(z_j)_k(x+\xi)\, d\xi,\ j = 1,2. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{10}$$

Let us prove by induction that for $(z_j)_k(x)$ from (10) for $|x| \ge |\mu|$ one has

$$|(z_j)_k(x)| \le 4\Big(2\pi|\mu|\frac{1+|\mu|}{|x|}\Big)^k,\ Re\, x \ge 0 \text{ and } |(z_j)_k(x)| \le 4\Big(2\pi|\mu|\frac{1+|\mu|}{|Im\, x|}\Big)^k,\ Re\, x \le 0.$$

The first step is obvious. Now we assume that the estimates are valid for $(z_j)_k(x)$, and prove them for $(z_j)_{k+1}(x)$.

For $|x| \ge |\mu|$, we have $|A(x)| \le 2$ and $|g^j(0,\xi)| \le 2$; then it follows from (10) that

$$|(z_j)_{k+1}(x)| \le 2|\mu|(1+|\mu|)\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}|(z_j)_k(x+\xi)|\, d\xi,$$

One has

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{|x+\xi|^2} \le \frac{\pi}{|x|} \text{ for } Re\, x \ge 0, \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{|x+\xi|^2} \le \frac{\pi}{|Im\, x|} \text{ for } Re\, x \le 0. \tag{11}$$

a) For $Re\, x \ge 0$,

$$|(z_j)_{k+1}(x)| \le 4\Big(2|\mu|(1+|\mu|)\Big)^{k+1}\pi^k\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\cdot\frac{1}{|x+\xi|^k}\, d\xi.$$

Taking $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\cdot\frac{1}{|x+\xi|^k}\, d\xi \le \frac{1}{|x|^k}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\, d\xi$, and (11) into account, we obtain our result.

b) For $Re\, x \le 0$,

$$|(z_j)_{k+1}(x)| \le 4\Big(2|\mu|(1+|\mu|)\Big)^{k+1}\pi^k \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\cdot\frac{1}{|Im(x+\xi)|^k}\,d\xi.$$

Since $Im(x+\xi) = Im\, x$, one has $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\cdot\frac{1}{|Im(x+\xi)|^k}\,d\xi = \frac{1}{|Im(x+\xi)|^k}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x+\xi|^2}\,d\xi$. Using (11), we obtain our result.

Combining the results for $Re\, x \ge 0$ and $Re\, x \le 0$, we can write

$$|(z_j)_k(x)| \le 4\Big(2\pi|\mu|\frac{1+|\mu|}{|x|\sin\delta_0}\Big)^k,\ |\arg x| \le \pi - \delta_0.$$

Let

$$2\pi|\mu|\frac{1+|\mu|}{|x|\sin\delta_0} \le \frac{1}{2} \ \text{ or } \ |x| \ge x_0 = 4\pi|\mu|\frac{1+|\mu|}{\sin\delta_0}.$$

Then the series (10) is majorized by the numerical convergent series. Analogously we get $|z_j(x) - z_j^0| \le C/|x|$ for $|x| \ge x_0,\ |\arg x| \le \pi - \delta_0$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Corollary. *$e(x)$ is a fundamental matrix, and $\det e(x) = 2i$.*

The following lemma is important for calculating the Stokes multipliers.

Lemma 1. *For $x \in D_+ = \{z|\arg z \in (0,\pi]\}$ the following relations hold*

$$-Ke_2(-x) \equiv e_1(x) \quad KC_j(-x) \equiv (-1)^j e^{-i\pi\mu_j} C_j(x),\ j = 1,2.$$

Proof. Note that if $Y_0(x)$ is a solution of system (2), then $KY_0(-x)$ is also a solution of (2). We consider integral equations (7) for $z_1(x)$ and $z_2(-x)$ for $x \in D_+$. Let $x = Re^{i\theta}$. Then $-x = Re^{i(\theta-\pi)}$):

$$z_1(Re^{i\theta}) = A(Re^{i\theta})\left(z_1^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_R^\infty g^1(Re^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2} z_1(re^{i\theta})\,dr\right),$$

$$z_2(Re^{i(\theta-\pi)}) = A(Re^{i(\theta-\pi)})\left(z_2^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_R^\infty g^2(Re^{i(\theta-\pi)}, re^{i(\theta-\pi)})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i(\theta-\pi)}}{r^2} z_2(re^{i(\theta-\pi)})\,dr\right).$$

One has $KA(-x) = K\frac{1}{d(-x)}(I - Q_0(x)/2)$, $d(-x) = d(x)$, $KQ_0(x) = -Q_0(x)K$, $KB = -BK$, $KA(-x) = A(x)K$, $Kg^2(-x,-t) = K(\frac{1}{2i}(iI-B)e^{2i(t-x)} + \frac{1}{2i}(iI+B))$, then $Kg^2(-x,-t) = (\frac{1}{2i}(iI+B)e^{2i(t-x)} + \frac{1}{2i}(iI-B))K = g^1(x,t)K$. Multiply the second relation by K :

$$Kz_2(-Re^{i\theta}) = A(Re^{i\theta})\left(Kz_2^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_R^\infty g^1(Re^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2} Kz_2(-re^{i\theta})\,dr\right).$$

Since $Kz_2^0 = -z_1^0$, then for the function $\widetilde{z}_2(x) = -Kz_2(-x)$, we have the relation

$$\widetilde{z}_2(Re^{i\theta}) = A(Re^{i\theta})\left(z_1^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_R^\infty g^1(Re^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta})(J+\mu B)\frac{\mu e^{-i\theta}}{r^2} \widetilde{z}_2(re^{i\theta})\,dr\right).$$

The functions $\widetilde{z}_2(x)$ and $z_1(x)$ satisfy the same equation; This yeilds $\widetilde{z}_2(x) \equiv z_1(x)$. Taking the relation $e_j(x) = e^{R_j x} z_j(x)$ into account, we obtain the first assertion of the lemma.

Furthermore, since $C_j(x) = x^{\mu_j}\widehat{C}_j(x)$, it follows that $C_j(-x) = (-x)^{\mu_j}\widehat{C}_j(-x)$. Moreover, $-x = \begin{cases} xe^{i\pi} & \text{for } \arg x \in (-\pi, 0], \\ xe^{-i\pi} & \text{for } \arg x \in (0,\pi]. \end{cases}$ This yields $(-x)^{\mu_j} = \begin{cases} x^{\mu_j} e^{i\pi\mu_j} & \text{for } \arg x \in (-\pi, 0], \\ x^{\mu_j} e^{-i\pi\mu_j} & \text{for } \arg x \in (0,\pi]. \end{cases}$

Thus, for $x \in D_+$ one has $C_j(-x) = e^{-i\pi\mu_j} x^{\mu_j}\widehat{C}_j(-x)$. Then $K\widehat{C}_j(-x) = (-1)^j\widehat{C}_j(x)$, and the lemma is proved.

In the domain $|\arg x| \le \pi - \delta_0$ we have two fundamental matrices; then $e(x) = C(x)\gamma^0$ and $C(x) = e(x)\beta^0$; the matrices $\gamma^0,\ \beta^0$ are called the Stockes multipliers.

Theorem 2. *For the Stockes multipliers of system (2) the following relations hold* $\det\gamma^0 = 2i$, $\gamma^0_{11} = e^{-i\pi\mu_1}\gamma^0_{12},\ \gamma^0_{21} = -e^{-i\pi\mu_2}\gamma^0_{22},\ \gamma^0_{11}\gamma^0_{21} = (i\cos\pi\mu)^{-1}$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the relations $\det e(x) = \det C(x)\det\gamma^0,\ \det e(x) \equiv 2i,\ \det C(x) \equiv 1$. In order to prove the second assertion we rewrite $e(x) = C(x)\gamma^0$ in the vector form:

$$e_1(x) = \gamma^0_{11}C_1(x) + \gamma^0_{21}C_2(x),\ e_2(x) = \gamma^0_{12}C_1(x) + \gamma^0_{22}C_2(x).$$

Let $x \in D_+$. Substutuing $-x$ to the second relation and multiplying on $(-K)$, we get $e_1(x) = \gamma^0_{12}e^{-i\pi\mu_1}C_1(x) + \gamma^0_{22}(-e^{-i\pi\mu_2})C_2(x)$. Therefore $\gamma^0_{11} = e^{-i\pi\mu_1}\gamma^0_{12},\ \gamma^0_{21} = -e^{-i\pi\mu_2}\gamma^0_{22}$. Since $\det\gamma^0 = \gamma^0_{11}\cdot(-e^{i\pi\mu_2})\gamma^0_{21} - e^{i\pi\mu_1}\gamma^0_{11}\gamma^0_{21}$, it follows thst $\gamma^0_{11}\gamma^0_{21} = (i\cos\pi\mu)^{-1}$. Theorem 2 is proved.

Corollary. *The following properties of the Stockes multipliers* β^0 *hold:* $\det\beta^0 = (2i)^{-1}$, $\beta^0_{11} = e^{-i\pi\mu_1}\beta^0_{21},\ \beta^0_{12} = -e^{-i\pi\mu_2}\beta^0_{22},\ \beta^0_{21}\beta^0_{22} = (4i\cos\pi\mu)^{-1}$.

Now we consider the sytem

$$BY' + Q_0(x)Y = \lambda Y. \tag{12}$$

for real $x \ne 0$ and complex λ. We will use a simple but important property: if $Y(x)$ is a solution of (2), then $Y(\lambda x)$ is a solution of (12).

Denote $C(x,\lambda) = C(x\lambda)H(\lambda^{-1})$, $e(x,\lambda) = e(x\lambda)$. Clearly, $C_j(x,\lambda) = x^{\mu_j}\widehat{C}_j(x,\lambda)$, where $\widehat{C}_j(x,\lambda) = \widehat{C}_j(x\lambda)$, $e_j(x,\lambda) = e^{R_j\lambda x}z_j(x\lambda),\ j = 1,2$. The following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 3. *1)* $C(x,\lambda)$ *is a fundamental matrix for system (12),* $\det C(x,\lambda) \equiv 1,\ C(x,\lambda)$ *is entire in* λ, *and* $|\widehat{C}(x\lambda)| \le C$ *for each* $x\lambda$ *from a compact.*

2) $e(x,\lambda)$ *is a fundamental matrix for system (12),* $\det e(x,\lambda) \equiv 2i$, *and* $|z_j(x\lambda) - z^0_j| \le C_0|x\lambda|^{-1}$ *for* $|x\lambda| \ge x_0$, $\arg(x\lambda) \in [-\pi + \delta_0, \pi]$ *for* $j = 1$, $\arg(x\lambda) \in [-\pi, \pi - \delta_0]$ *for* $j = 2$, *where* C_0 *depends only on* x_0, μ, δ_0 , *and* $x_0\sin\delta_0 \ge 4\pi|\mu|(1 + |\mu|)$.

3) Let $e(x,\lambda) = C(x,\lambda)\gamma^0(\lambda)$ *and* $C(x,\lambda) = e(x,\lambda)\beta^0(\lambda)$. *Then* $\gamma^0_{jk}(\lambda) = \lambda^{\mu_j}\gamma^0_{jk},\ \beta^0_{kj}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\mu_j}\beta^0_{kj},\ k,j = 1,2$.

3. Fundamental systems of solutions. Now we consider system (1) and assume that $\int_{|x|\le1}|x|^{-2Re\mu}|Q(x)|\,dx + \int_{|x|\ge1}|Q(x)|\,dx < \infty$. In this section we construct fundamental matrices for system (1) and establish properties of their Stockes multipliers. The following assertion is proved by the well-known method (see, for example, [1]-[2]).

Theorem 4. *System (1) has a fundamental system of solutions* $S_j(x,\lambda) = x^{\mu_j}\widehat{S}_j(x,\lambda),\ j = 1,2$, *where the functions* $\widehat{S}_j(x,\lambda)$ *are solutions of the integral Volterra equations (13):*

$$\widehat{S}_j(x,\lambda) = \widehat{C}_j(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x C(x,\lambda)C^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{\mu_j}BQ(t)\widehat{S}_j(t,\lambda)\,dt,\ j = 1,2. \tag{13}$$

The functions $S_j(x,\lambda)$ *are entire in* λ, *and* $|\widehat{S}_j(x,\lambda)| \le C$ *on compacts.*

Let us now construct the Birkhoff-type fundamental system of solutions for system (1). For definiteness, we confine ourselves to the case $x > 0$. In section 2 we constructed the solution $e(x,\lambda)$ of equation (12) for $|x\lambda| \ge x_0,\ |\arg\lambda| \le \pi - \delta_0$, where $x_0 > 0,\ \delta_0 > 0$ are such that $x_0\sin\delta_0 \ge 4\pi|\mu|(1 + |\mu|)$. The Stockes multipliers allow one to extend this solution by $e(x,\lambda) = C(x,\lambda)\gamma^0(\lambda)$ on Π_- and $x \ne 0$. Denote $F(x\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(x\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & F_2(x\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, $F_j(x\lambda) = \begin{cases} (x\lambda)^{-\mu} & \text{for } |x\lambda| < 2|\mu|, \\ e^{R_j\lambda x}, & \text{for } |x\lambda| \ge 2|\mu|, \end{cases}$, $R_1 = i,\ R_2 = -i$. Let $U^0(x,\lambda) = (U^0_1(x,\lambda),\ U^0_2(x,\lambda)) := e(x,\lambda)F^{-1}(x\lambda)$. It is easy to check that $|U^0(x,\lambda)| \le C$ for $x > 0,\ |\arg\lambda| \le \pi/2$. The Birkhoff-type solutions $E_j(x,\lambda),\ j = 1,2$, of system (1) is

constructed from the following systems of integral equations:
1) for $x \le a_\lambda := 2|\mu|/|\lambda|$

$$E_1(x,\lambda) = e_1(x,\lambda) + e(x,\lambda)\Big(I_1\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)E_1(t,\lambda)\,dt - I_2\int_x^{a_\lambda} e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)E_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}I_2e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)E_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{14}$$

$$E_2(x,\lambda) = e_2(x,\lambda) + e(x,\lambda)\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)E_2(t,\lambda)\,dt; \tag{15}$$

2) for $x \ge a_\lambda$

$$E_1(x,\lambda) = e_1(x,\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)E_1(x,\lambda)$$

$$+e(x,\lambda)\Big(I_1\int_0^{a_\lambda} e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)E_1(t,\lambda)\,dt + \frac{1}{2}I_1\int_{a_\lambda}^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)E_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}I_2\int_x^\infty e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)E_1(t,\lambda)\,dt + \frac{1}{2}I_1e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)E_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{16}$$

$$E_2(x,\lambda) = e_2(x,\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)E_2(x,\lambda) + e(x,\lambda)\Big(\int_0^{a_\lambda} e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)E_2(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{a_\lambda}^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)E_2(t,\lambda)\,dt + \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)E_2(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{17}$$

where $I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $Q(x,\lambda) = Q_0(x) - \lambda I$,

$$L(t,\lambda) = \Big(Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)Q(t)\Big)' + Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(Q(t)BQ(t) + Q(t)BQ(t,\lambda) + Q(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\Big). \tag{18}$$

Let us show that if $E_j(x,\lambda)$, $j = 12$ are solutions of these systems, then they are solutions of (1). Since $Be'(x,\lambda) + Q(x,\lambda)e(x,\lambda) = 0$, it follows from (14)-(15) that for $x \le a_\lambda$,

$$BE_j'(x,\lambda) + Q(x,\lambda)E_j(x,\lambda) = B(BP(x)E_j(x,\lambda)).$$

Together with $B^2 = -I$ this yields that for $x \le a_\lambda$ the functions $E_j(x,\lambda)$ are solutions of system (1).

For $x \ge a_\lambda$, it follows from (16)-(17) that

$$BE_j'(x,\lambda) + Q(x,\lambda)E_j(x,\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}B\Big(Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)E_j(x,\lambda)\Big)'$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}BL(x,\lambda)E_j(x,\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}BQ(x)E_j(x,\lambda).$$

In view of (18) this yields

$$BE_j'(x,\lambda) + Q(x,\lambda)E_j(x,\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)E_j'(x,\lambda) + \Big(-\frac{1}{2}B\Big(Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)\Big)'$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}B\Big(Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)\Big)' + \frac{1}{2}B^2Q(x) + \frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B\Big(Q(x)+Q(x,\lambda)\Big) - \frac{1}{2}Q(x)\Big)E_j(x,\lambda),$$

or

$$\Big(I - \frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B\Big)\Big(BE_j'(x,\lambda) + \Big(Q(x)+Q(x,\lambda)\Big)E_j(x,\lambda)\Big) = 0.$$

Thus, the functions $E_j(x,\lambda)$ satisfy (1) in the points (x,λ) where $\det(I - \frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B) \ne 0$. Let us show that for λ sufficiently large, this determinant differs from zero for each $x \ge a_\lambda$.

Denote $d(x,\lambda)=\mu^2/x^2-\lambda^2$, then $Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)=(d(x,\lambda))^{-1}(\frac{\mu}{x}J+\lambda I)$. Using anticommutativity of the matrices J, K, B, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B=-\frac{1}{2d(x,\lambda)}\Big(\frac{\mu}{x}J-\lambda I\Big)\Big(q_1(x)K+q_2(x)J\Big)$$

Since $J^2=I$ and $JK=-B$, it follows that

$$\det\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B\Big)$$

$$=\det\Big(I+\frac{1}{2d(x,\lambda)}\Big(-q_1(x)\frac{\mu}{x}B+q_2(x)\frac{\mu}{x}I-q_1(x)\lambda K-q_2(x)\lambda J\Big)\Big)$$

$$=\frac{1}{4d^2(x,\lambda)}\begin{vmatrix}2d(x,\lambda)+q_2(x)\frac{\mu}{x}-q_1(x)\lambda & -q_1(x)\frac{\mu}{x}-q_2(x)\lambda\\ q_1(x)\frac{\mu}{x}-q_2(x)\lambda & 2d(x,\lambda)+q_2(x)\frac{\mu}{x}+q_1(x)\lambda\end{vmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{4d^2(x,\lambda)}\Big(\Big(2d(x,\lambda)+q_2(x)\frac{\mu}{x}\Big)^2-q_1^2(x)\lambda^2+q_1^2(x)\frac{\mu^2}{x^2}-q_2^2(x)\lambda^2\Big)$$

$$=\frac{1}{4d^2(x,\lambda)}\Big(4d^2(x,\lambda)+4d(x,\lambda)q_2(x)\frac{\mu}{x}+\Big(q_1^2(x)+q_2^2(x)\Big)\Big(\frac{\mu^2}{x^2}-\lambda^2\Big)\Big),$$

i.e.

$$\det\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B\Big)=1+\frac{1}{4d(x,\lambda)}\Big(4q(x)\frac{\mu}{x}+q_1^2(x)+q_2^2(x)\Big).$$

We estimate the second term. For $x\ge a_\lambda$ we have $|d(x,\lambda)|\ge|\lambda|^2-|\mu/x|^2\ge|\lambda|^2/2$. Since $q_1(x)$ and $q_2(x)$ are bounded it follows that

$$\Big|\det\Big(I-\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B\Big)-1\Big|\le\frac{1}{2|\lambda|^2}\Big(4C\frac{|\lambda|}{2}+2C^2\Big)\le\frac{C_0}{|\lambda|}.$$

For $|\lambda|\ge 2C_0$ we get $\det(I-\frac{1}{2}BQ^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)B)\ge 1/2$. Therefore, for $x\ge a_\lambda$ and sufficiently large $|\lambda|$, the function $E_j(x,\lambda)$ is a solution of system (1).

Let us go on to the solvability of systems (14)-(17). Denote
$U(x,\lambda)=(U_1(x,\lambda),\ U_2(x,\lambda)):=E(x,\lambda)F^{-1}(x\lambda)$, where $E(x,\lambda)=(E_1(x,\lambda),\ E_2(x,\lambda))$. Then for $U_j(x,\lambda)$, $j=1,2$, the following relations hold: 1) for $x\le a_\lambda$,

$$U_1(x,\lambda)=U_1^0(x,\lambda)+e(x,\lambda)\Big(I_1\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-I_2\int_x^{a_\lambda}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt-\frac{1}{2}I_2\int_{a_\lambda}^{\infty}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}I_2e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)\frac{F_1(a_\lambda\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big),\tag{19}$$

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda)+e(x,\lambda)\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\frac{F_2(t\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt;\tag{20}$$

2) for $x\ge a_\lambda$,

$$U_1(x,\lambda)=U_1^0(x,\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)U_1(x,\lambda)+e(x,\lambda)\Big(I_1\int_0^{a_\lambda}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}I_1\int_{a_\lambda}^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt-\frac{1}{2}I_2\int_x^{\infty}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)\frac{F_1(t\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}I_1e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)\frac{F_1(a_\lambda\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{21}$$

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)U_2(x,\lambda)+e(x,\lambda)\Big(\int_0^{a_\lambda}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)Q(t)\frac{F_2(t\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{a_\lambda}^{x}e^{-1}(t,\lambda)L(t,\lambda)\frac{F_2(t\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt+\frac{1}{2}e^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)\frac{F_2(a_\lambda\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}U_2(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big). \tag{22}$$

Since $e^{-1}(x,\lambda)=-\frac{1}{2i}Be^T(x,\lambda)B$, it follows that

$$e(x,\lambda)I_je^{-1}(t,\lambda)=-\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)F(x\lambda)I_jBF^T(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)B,\ j=1,2,$$

where $U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)=(U^0(t,\lambda))^T$. Denote $B_1=I_1B$. Then $F(x\lambda)B_1F(t\lambda)=F_1(x\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)B_1$. Analogously, one gets $F(x\lambda)I_2BF(t\lambda)=F_1(t\lambda)F_2(x\lambda)B_2$, where $B_2=I_2B$.

Denote $N(x,t,\lambda)=F(x\lambda)BF(t\lambda)\frac{F_2(t\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}$. Then

$$N(x,t,\lambda)=\Big(F_2(t\lambda)\Big)^2\frac{F_1(x\lambda)}{F_2(x\lambda)}B_1+F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)B_2. \tag{23}$$

We note that for $x<a_\lambda$ one has $F_1(x\lambda)=F_2(x\lambda)$. We rewrite (19)-(22) in the form: 1) for $x\ge a_\lambda$,

$$U_1(x,\lambda)=U_1^0(x,\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)U_1(x,\lambda)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\Big(B_1\int_0^{a_\lambda}F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}B_1\int_{a_\lambda}^{x}F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}B_2\int_x^{\infty}F_1^2(t\lambda)\frac{F_2(x\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}B_1F_1(a_\lambda\lambda)F_2(a_\lambda\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{24}$$

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)U_2(x,\lambda)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\Big(\int_0^{a_\lambda}N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{a_\lambda}^{x}N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}N(x,a_\lambda,\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_2(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big); \tag{25}$$

2) for $x<a_\lambda$,

$$U_1(x,\lambda)=U_1^0(x,\lambda)+\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\Big(B_1\int_0^{x}F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$-B_2\int_x^{a_\lambda}F_2^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt+\frac{1}{2}B_2\int_{a_\lambda}^{\infty}F_2^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}B_2F_2^2(a_\lambda\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big), \tag{26}$$

$$U_2(x,\lambda) = U_2^0(x,\lambda) + \frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\int_0^x N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt. \tag{27}$$

Lemma 3. *The following estimates hold:*
1) for $t \ge 2a_\lambda$:
$|L(t,\lambda)| \le \frac{2}{|\lambda|}|P'(x)| + C\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|} + \frac{t^{-\nu}}{|\lambda|^\nu}\Big)|P(t)|$, *where* $\nu = \min\{1,\, 2Re\mu\}$;
2) for $t \le x < a_\lambda$: $N(x,t,\lambda) = (t\lambda)^{-2\mu}B$,
for $t < a_\lambda \le x$: $|N(x,t,\lambda)| \le |\lambda|^{-2Re\mu}t^{-2Re\mu}$,
for $a_\lambda \le t \le x$: $|N(x,t,\lambda)| \le 1$.

Proof. Since $(Q^{-1}(x,\lambda))' = Q^{-2}(x,\lambda)\frac{\mu}{x^2}J$, it follows that

$$L(t,\lambda) = Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\frac{\mu}{t^2}JQ(t) + Q'(t) + Q(t)BQ(t) + Q(t,\lambda)BQ(t) + Q(t)BQ(t,\lambda)\Big).$$

It is easy to check that $KBJ = -JBK$, and consequently,

$$Q(t,\lambda)BQ(t) + Q(t)BQ(t,\lambda)$$

$$= \Big(\frac{\mu}{t}J - \lambda I\Big)B\Big(q_1(t)K + q_2(t)J\Big) + \Big(q_1(t)K + q_2(t)J\Big)B\Big(\frac{\mu}{t}J - \lambda I\Big) = -2q_2(t)\frac{\mu}{t}B.$$

Similarly, one gets

$$Q(t)BQ(t) = \Big(q_1(t)K + q_2(t)J\Big)B\Big(q_1(t)K + q_2(t)J\Big) = -\Big(q_1(t)^2 + q_2(t)^2\Big)B.$$

Substituting these relations into $L(t,\lambda)$, we calculate

$$L(t,\lambda) = Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(Q'(t) - \Big(q_1(t)^2 + q_2(t)^2\Big)B\Big) + Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\frac{\mu}{t}\Big(Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)\frac{1}{t}JQ(t) - 2q_2(t)B\Big).$$

For $t \ge a_\lambda$ we have

$$|Q^{-1}(t,\lambda)| \le \frac{|\lambda| + |\mu t^{-1}|}{|\lambda|^2 - |\mu t^{-1}|^2} \le \frac{2}{|\lambda|}. \tag{28}$$

Since $q_1(x)$ and $q_2(x)$ are bounded, it follows that

$$|L(t,\lambda)| \le \frac{2}{|\lambda|}|Q'(t)| + C\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|} + \frac{1}{|\lambda|t}\Big)|Q(t)|.$$

If $Re\mu \ge 1/2$, then $\nu = 1$, and our estimate is obtained; if $0 < Re\mu < 1/2$, then $\nu = 2Re\mu$. Since $1/t = t^{-\nu}t^{\nu-1}$ and $\nu - 1 < 0$, it follows that $1/t \le t^{-\nu}|2\mu/\lambda|^{\nu-1}$, and our estimwte is obtained too.

In order to prove the second assertion, we use (23).

a) Let $t \le x < a_\lambda$. Then $F_j(t\lambda) = (t\lambda)^{-\mu}$, $F_j(x\lambda) = (x\lambda)^{-\mu}$, hence

$$N(x,t,\lambda) = (t\lambda)^{-2\mu}B_1 + (t\lambda)^{-2\mu}B_2.$$

b) Let $t < a_\lambda \le x$. Then $F_j(t\lambda) = (t\lambda)^{-\mu}$, $F_j(x\lambda) = e^{R_j\lambda x}$, hence

$$N(x,t,\lambda) = (t\lambda)^{-2\mu}e^{2i\lambda x}B_1 + (t\lambda)^{-2\mu}B_2.$$

Since $x > 0$ and $Im\lambda \ge 0$, then $|e^{2i\lambda x}| \le 1$, and $|N(x,t,\lambda)| \le |\lambda t|^{-2Re\mu}$.

c) Let $a_\lambda \le t \le x$. Then $F_j(t\lambda) = e^{R_j\lambda t}$, $F_j(x\lambda) = e^{R_j\lambda x}$, hence

$$N(x,t,\lambda) = e^{2i\lambda(x-t)}B_1 + B_2.$$

Since $x - t \ge 0$ and $Im\lambda \ge 0$, it follows that $|N(x,t,\lambda)| \le 1$. The lemma is proved.

Now we formulate and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5. *Systems (24)-(25) and (26)-(27) have solutions* $U_j(x,\lambda)$, $j=1,2$ *for* $x>0$ *and* $\lambda\in\{\lambda:\ |\lambda|\ge\lambda_0,\ \arg\lambda\in(0,\pi/2]\}$, *and* $|U_j(x,\lambda)-U_j^0(x,\lambda)|\le M/|\lambda|^\nu$, *where the constant* M *depends on* μ, $Q(x)$, $Q'(x)$.

I. We begin with (25), (27) for $U_2(x,\lambda)$.

a) Let $x\le a_\lambda$. We construct the solution $U_2(x,\lambda)$ by the method of successive approximations:

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(U_2)_k(x,\lambda),\quad\text{where}\quad (U_2)_0(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda),$$

$$(U_2)_{k+1}(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\int_0^x N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)(U_2)_k(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

Using Lemma 3, by induction we get

$$|(U_2)_k(x,\lambda)|\le\frac{C}{k!}\Big(\frac{C^2}{2|\lambda|^{2Re\mu}}\int_0^{a_\lambda}t^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)|\,dt\Big)^k.$$

This means that the series converges uniformly, and consequently, the function $U_2(x,\lambda)$ is continuous with respect to x and analytic with respect to λ, and $|U_2(x,\lambda)|<C$. Furthermore,

$$U_2(x,\lambda)-U_2^0(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\int_0^x N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)P(t)U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain for $x\le a_\lambda:\ |U_2(x,\lambda)-U_2^0(x,\lambda)|\le C/|\lambda|^{2Re\mu}$.

b) Let $x>a_\lambda$. The solution is also found by the method of successive approximations:

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(U_2)_k(x,\lambda),\quad\text{where}$$

$$(U_2)_0(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda)-\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)N(x,a_\lambda,\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_2(a_\lambda,\lambda)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)\int_0^{a_\lambda}N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_2(t,\lambda)\,dt,$$
$$(U_2)_{k+1}(x,\lambda)=-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)(U_2)_k(x,\lambda)$$
$$-\frac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)\int_{a_\lambda}^x N(x,t,\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)(U_2)_k(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

Using results from the case a), Lemma 3 and (28), we obtain the estimates

$$|(U_2)_0(x,\lambda)|\le C\Big(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^\nu}\Big),$$

$$|(U_2)_k(x,\lambda)|\le C\Big(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^\nu}\Big)C^k\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\int_0^\infty\Big(|Q'(t)|+|Q(t)|\Big)\,dt+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^\nu}\int_0^\infty t^{-\nu}|Q(t)|)\,dt\Big)^k.$$

For sufficiently large $|\lambda|\ge\lambda_0$, the series for $U_2(x,\lambda)$ converges uniformly, hence $U_2(x,\lambda)$ is continuous with respect to x and analytic with respect to λ, and $|U_2(x,\lambda)|\le C$. Together with Lemma 3 and (28) this yields

$$|U_2(x,\lambda)-U_2^0(x,\lambda)|\le C\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2Re\mu}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\Big),$$

and we arrive at the required estimate.

II. Now we consider the existence of the solution $U_1(x,\lambda)$ of system (24), (26). The system for $U_1(x,\lambda)$ has the form

$$U_1(x,\lambda) = U_1^0(x,\lambda) + D_1(x,\lambda)U_1(x,\lambda) + D_2(x,\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda) + \int_0^{+\infty} D_3(x,t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

We solve this system by the method of successive approximations:

$$U_1(x,\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(U_1)_k(x,\lambda), \quad \text{пїЅпїЅпїЅ} \ (U_1)_0(x,\lambda) = U_1^0(x,\lambda)$$

$$(U_1)_{k+1}(x,\lambda) = D_1(x,\lambda)(U_1)_k(x,\lambda) + D_2(x,\lambda)(U_1)_k(a_\lambda,\lambda) + \int_0^{+\infty} D_3(x,t,\lambda)(U_1)_k(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

It is easy to check that if for all x the following estimates

$$|U_1^0(x,\lambda)| \le D_0, \ |D_1(x,\lambda)| \le D_1(\lambda), \ |D_2(x,\lambda)| \le D_2(\lambda), \ |D_3(x,t,\lambda)| \le D_3(t,\lambda),$$

are vald, the

$$|(U_1)_k(x,\lambda)| \le D_0\Big(D_1(\lambda) + D_2(\lambda) + \int_0^{+\infty} D_3(t,\lambda)\,dt\Big)^k. \tag{29}$$

Let us obtain the required estimates for the system for $U_1(x,\lambda)$.

1) Since $|U_1^0(x,\lambda)| \le C$, it follows that $D_0 = C$.

2) According to the integral equation $D_1(x,\lambda) = 0$ for $x \le a_\lambda$, and $D_1(x,\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(x,\lambda)Q(x)$ for $x > a_\lambda$. By virtue of (28), $|D_1(x,\lambda)| \le |Q(x)|/|\lambda|$, i.e. $D_1(\lambda) = C/|\lambda|$.

3) Since

$$D_2(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_2F_1^2(a_\lambda\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda) & \text{for } x < a_\lambda, \\ \dfrac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_1F_1(a_\lambda\lambda)F_2(a_\lambda\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda) & \text{for } x \ge a_\lambda, \end{cases}$$

it follows that $|D_2(x,\lambda)| \le C|Q(a_\lambda)|/|\lambda|$, i.e. $D_2(\lambda) = C/|\lambda|$.

4) The function $D_3(x,t,\lambda)$ has a more complicated structure; it is convenient to consider two cases.

a) Let $x < a_\lambda$. Then

$$D_3(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_1F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t) & \text{for } 0 < t \le x, \\ -\dfrac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_2F_1^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t) & \text{for } x < t < a_\lambda, \\ \dfrac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_2F_1^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda) & \text{for } a_\lambda \le t. \end{cases}$$

In particular, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|D_3(x,t,\lambda)| \le \frac{C}{|\lambda|^{2Re\mu}}t^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)| \quad \text{for } t < a_\lambda, \\ &|D_3(x,t,\lambda)| \le C|L(t,\lambda)| \qquad\qquad\quad \text{for } t \ge a_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $x \ge a_\lambda$. Then

$$D_3(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_1F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t) & \text{for } 0 < t < a_\lambda, \\ -\dfrac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_1F_1(t\lambda)F_2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda) & \text{for } a_\lambda \le t < x, \\ \dfrac{1}{4i}U^0(x,\lambda)B_2F_1^2(t\lambda)\dfrac{F_2(x\lambda)}{F_1(x\lambda)}U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda) & \text{for } x \le t, \end{cases}$$

and consequently,

$$D_3(t,\lambda)=\begin{cases} C|\lambda t|^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)| & \text{for } t<a_\lambda,\\ C|L(t,\lambda)| & \text{for } t\ge a_\lambda.\end{cases}$$

Using (28) and (29), we calculate

$$|(U_1)_k(x,\lambda)|\le C^{k+1}\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2Re\mu}}\int_0^{a_\lambda}t^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)|\,dt+\int_{a_\lambda}^{\infty}|L(t,\lambda)|\,dt\Big)^k.$$

Taking lemma 3 into account, we deduce

$$|(U_1)_k(x,\lambda)|\le CC^k\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\int_0^{\infty}\Big(|Q'(t)|+|Q(t)|\Big)\,dt+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\nu}}\int_0^{\infty}t^{-\nu}|Q(t)|\,dt\Big)^k.$$

For sufficiently large $|\lambda|\ge\lambda_0$, one has $|(U_1)_k(x,\lambda)|\le C/2^k$. Therefore, the series $U_1(x,\lambda)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}(U_1)_k(x,\lambda)$ converges uniformly, hence $U_1(x,\lambda)$ is continuous with respect to x, and analytic with respect to λ, and $|U_1(x,\lambda)|\le M_0$. It follows from (24) and (26) that

$$|U_1(x,\lambda)-U_1^0(x,\lambda)|\le M_0\Big(D_1(\lambda)+D_2(\lambda)+\int_0^{\infty}D_3(t,\lambda)\,dt\Big).$$

The theorem is proved.

4. Asymptotics of the Stockes multipliers. Since $E(x,\lambda)$ and $S(x,\lambda)$ are fundamental matrices of system (1), it follows that $E(x,\lambda)=S(x,\lambda)\gamma(\lambda)$ and $S(x,\lambda)=E(x,\lambda)\beta(\lambda)$; the matrices $\gamma(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ are called the Stockes multipliers.

Theorem 6. *The following relations hold:*
1) $\gamma_{j2}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\mu_j}\gamma_{j2}^0,\ j=1,2,$
2) $\gamma_{j1}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\mu_j}\gamma_{j1}^0(1+O(|\lambda|^{-\nu}))$ *for* $|\lambda|\to\infty,\ j=1,2,$
where γ_{ij}^0 *are the Stockes multipliers from* $e(x)=C(x)\gamma^0$.

Proof. We rewrite the relations $e(x,\lambda)=C(x,\lambda)\gamma^0(\lambda)$ and $E(x,\lambda)=S(x,\lambda)\gamma(\lambda)$ in the vector form:

$$e_j(x,\lambda)=\gamma_{1j}^0\lambda^{-\mu}C_1(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{2j}^0\lambda^{\mu}C_2(x,\lambda),$$
$$E_j(x,\lambda)=\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)S_1(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)S_2(x,\lambda).$$

We consider the case $x<a_\lambda$. Then $F_j(x\lambda)=(x\lambda)^{-\mu}$, and the last relations imply

$$\left.\begin{aligned} U_j^0(x,\lambda)&=\gamma_{1j}^0\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{2j}^0\cdot(x\lambda)^{2\mu}\widehat{C}_2(x,\lambda),\\ U_j(x,\lambda)&=\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}x^{2\mu}\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda).\end{aligned}\right\}\tag{30}$$

Subtracting the first equality from the second one and adding $\gamma_{1j}^0\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)-\gamma_{1j}^0\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)$, $\gamma_{2j}^0\cdot(x\lambda)^{2\mu}\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)-\gamma_{2j}^0\cdot(x\lambda)^{2\mu}\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)$, we obtain

$$U_j(x,\lambda)-U_j^0(x,\lambda)=\Big(\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^0\Big)\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{1j}^0\Big(\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)-\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)\Big)$$
$$+\Big(\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{2j}^0\lambda^{2\mu}\Big)x^{2\mu}\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)+\gamma_{2j}^0(x\lambda)^{2\mu}\Big(\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)-\widehat{C}_2(x,\lambda)\Big).\tag{31}$$

For $x\to+0$, we calculate

$$U_j(0,\lambda)-U_j^0(0,\lambda)=\Big(\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^0\Big)\widehat{S}_1(0,\lambda).\tag{32}$$

Using (31) we calculate

$$\Big(\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{2j}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu}\Big)\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)=\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}}\Big(\Big(U_j(x,\lambda)-U_j^0(x,\lambda)\Big)-\Big(\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^{0}\Big)\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)\Big)$$

$$-\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}}\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}\Big(\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)-\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)\Big)-\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{2\mu}\Big(\widehat{S}_2(x,\lambda)-\widehat{C}_2(x,\lambda)\Big).$$

Taking the estimate $|\widehat{S}_1(x,\lambda)-\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)|\le Cx^{2Re\mu}\int_0^x t^{-2Re\mu}|P(t)|\,dt$ into account, we obtain

$$(\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{2j}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu})\widehat{S}_2(0,\lambda)=\lim_{x\to+0}\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}}\Big((U_j(x,\lambda)-U_j^0(x,\lambda))-(\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^{0})\widehat{C}_1(x,\lambda)\Big)\quad(33)$$

Since $\widehat{S}(0,\lambda)=\widehat{C}(0,\lambda)\,,\ U_{1j}(0,\lambda)=U_{1j}^0(0,\lambda)=0,\ j=1,2,$ it follows from (32)-(33) that

$$\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^{0}=-\frac{1}{c_{10}}\Big(U_{2j}(0,\lambda)-U_{2j}^0(0,\lambda)\Big),\qquad(34)$$

$$\gamma_{2j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{2j}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu}=\lim_{x\to+0}\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}c_{20}}\Big((U_{1j}(x,\lambda)-U_{1j}^0(x,\lambda))-(\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^{0})\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)\Big).\quad(35)$$

Let $j=2$. It follows from (30) that $U_{22}(0,\lambda)=U_{22}^0(0,\lambda)$, and, according to (34), $\gamma_{12}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{12}^{0}=0$. Substitute into (35):

$$\gamma_{22}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{22}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu}=\lim_{x\to+0}\Big(\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}c_{20}}\Big(U_{1j}(x,\lambda)-U_{1j}^0(x,\lambda)\Big)\Big).$$

Using

$$U_2(x,\lambda)=U_2^0(x,\lambda)+\int_0^x e(x,\lambda)e^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{-\mu}BQ(t)U_2(x,\lambda)\,dt,\ x<a_\lambda,$$

and $e(x,\lambda)=C(x,\lambda)\gamma^0(\lambda)$, we obtain the estimate

$$|U_2(x,\lambda)-U_2^0(x,\lambda)|\le Cx^{2Re\mu}\int_0^x t^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)|\,dt,$$

and consequently, $\gamma_{22}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{22}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu}=0$.

Let $j=1$. For $x<a_\lambda$, the equation for $U_1(x,\lambda)$ has the form

$$U_1(x,\lambda)=U_1^0(x,\lambda)+D_2(x,\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)+\int_0^{+\infty}D_3(x,t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

Taking (34) into account, we calculate $|\gamma_{1j}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{1j}^{0}|\le C|\lambda|^{-\nu}$. By virtue of (19), we have

$$U_{j1}(x,\lambda)=U_{j1}^0(x,\lambda)+\Big(e_{j1}(x,\lambda),\ e_{j2}(x,\lambda)\Big)I_1\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)BQ(t)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{-\mu}U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2i}\Big(U_{j1}^0(x,\lambda),\ U_{j2}^0(x,\lambda)\Big)B_2\Big(-\int_x^{a_\lambda}F_1^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\int_{a_\lambda}^{\infty}F_1^2(t\lambda)U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt+\frac{1}{2}F_1^2(a_\lambda\lambda)U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big).$$

Substituting (34) into (35), we infer

$$\gamma_{21}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{21}^{0}\lambda^{2\mu}=\lim_{x\to+0}\frac{1}{x^{2\mu}c_{20}}\Big(\Big(U_{11}(x,\lambda)-U_{11}^0(x,\lambda)\Big)+\frac{1}{c_{10}}\Big(U_{21}(0,\lambda)-U_{21}^0(0,\lambda)\Big)\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)\Big).$$

Denote

$$V(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2i}B_2\Big(-\int_0^{a_\lambda}(t\lambda)^{-2\mu}U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)Q(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{a_\lambda}^{\infty} e^{2i\lambda t}U^{0,T}(t,\lambda)BL(t,\lambda)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt+\frac{1}{2}e^{2i\lambda a_\lambda}U^{0,T}(a_\lambda,\lambda)BQ^{-1}(a_\lambda,\lambda)Q(a_\lambda)U_1(a_\lambda,\lambda)\Big).$$

Then

$$\Big(U_{11}(x,\lambda)-U_{11}^0(x,\lambda)\Big)+\frac{1}{c_{10}}\Big(U_{21}(0,\lambda)-U_{21}^0(0,\lambda)\Big)\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)$$

$$=\Big(e_{11}(x,\lambda),\ e_{12}(x,\lambda)\Big)\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{-\mu}BQ(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$$

$$+\Big(U_{11}^0(x,\lambda)+\frac{U_{21}^0(0,\lambda)}{c_{10}}\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda),\ \ U_{12}^0(x,\lambda)+\frac{U_{22}^0(0,\lambda)}{c_{10}}\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)\Big)V(\lambda).$$

Since $e(x,t)\displaystyle\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{-\mu}BQ(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt=\int_0^x G^{\langle 1\rangle}(x,t,\lambda)BQ(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt$, it follows that

$$\Big|\Big(e_{11}(x,\lambda),\ e_{12}(x,\lambda)\Big)\int_0^x e^{-1}(t,\lambda)\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{-\mu}BQ(t)U_1(t,\lambda)\,dt\Big|\le Cx^{2Re\mu}\int_0^x t^{-2Re\mu}|Q(t)|\,dt.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (30) that $U_{2j}(0,\lambda)=-c_{10}\gamma_{1j}^0$. Then

$$U_{1j}^0(x,\lambda)+(c_{10})^{-1}U_{2j}^0(0,\lambda)\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)=U_{1j}^0(x,\lambda)-\gamma_{1j}^0\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda),$$

and consequently,

$$U_{1j}^0(x,\lambda)+(c_{10})^{-1}U_{2j}^0(0,\lambda)\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)=\gamma_{2j}^0\cdot(x\lambda)^{2\mu}\widehat{C}_{12}(x,\lambda).$$

It is easy to see that $|V(\lambda)|\le C|\lambda|^{-\nu}$. Thus, we have

$$\Big|\Big(U_{11}(x,\lambda)-U_{11}^0(x,\lambda)\Big)+\frac{1}{c_{10}}\Big(U_{21}(0,\lambda)-U_{21}^0(0,\lambda)\Big)\widehat{C}_{11}(x,\lambda)\Big|$$

$$\le Cx^{2Re\mu}\Big(\int_0^x t^{-2Re\mu}|P(t)|\,dt+|\lambda^{2\mu}|\cdot\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\nu}}\Big),$$

therefore, $|\gamma_{21}(\lambda)\lambda^{\mu}-\gamma_{21}^0\lambda^{2\mu}|\le C|\lambda^{2\mu}|\cdot|\lambda|^{-\nu}$. The theorem is proved.

Corollary. $|\beta_{kj}(\lambda)-\beta_{kj}^0\cdot\lambda^{-\mu_j}|\le C|x\lambda|^{-\nu},\ k,j=1,2$.

Remark. Using the above-obtained results, it is easy to deduce asymptotics of the fundamental matrix $S(x,\lambda)$ (see [16] for more details):

$$S_j(x,\lambda)=\beta_j^0\lambda^{-\mu_j}e^{2i\pi\mu_j m}\left(e^{-i\lambda x}\begin{bmatrix}-i\\1\end{bmatrix}_0-(-1)^j e^{i\pi\mu_j l}e^{i\lambda x}\begin{bmatrix}i\\1\end{bmatrix}_0\right),\ j=1,2,\ |x\lambda|\ge 1,$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}S_j(x,\lambda)=\beta_j^0 x\lambda^{-\mu_j}e^{2i\pi\mu_j m}\left(e^{-i\lambda x}\begin{bmatrix}-1\\-i\end{bmatrix}_0-(-1)^j e^{i\pi\mu_j l}e^{i\lambda x}\begin{bmatrix}-1\\i\end{bmatrix}_0\right),\ |x\lambda|\ge 1,$$

where

$$l=\begin{cases}1, & \arg(x\lambda)\in(-\pi,-\pi/2]\cup(\pi/2,\pi],\\ -1, & \arg(x\lambda)\in(-\pi/2,\pi/2],\end{cases}\qquad m=\begin{cases}1, & x<0,\ \arg\lambda\in(\pi/2,\pi],\\ -1, & x>0,\ \arg\lambda\in(-\pi,-\pi/2],\\ 0, & \text{otherwise},\end{cases}$$

$\beta_1^0\beta_2^0=(4i\cos\pi\mu)^{-1}$.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant 1.1436.2014K of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science and by Grant 13-01-00134 of Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

REFERENCES

[1] M.A.Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators.* 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1969; English transl. of 1st ed., Parts I,II, Ungar, New York, 1967, 1968.

[2] Yurko V A, Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 2002.

[3] Meschanov V.P. and Feldstein A.L., Automatic Design of Directional Couplers, Moscow: Sviaz, 1980 (in Russian).

[4] Litvinenko O.N. and Soshnikov V.I., The Theory of Heterogenious Lines and their Applications in Radio Engineering, Moscow: Radio, 1964 (in Russian).

[5] Freiling G. and Yurko V.A., Reconstructing parameters of a medium from incomplete spectral information. Results in Mathematics 35 (1999), 228-249.

[6] Anderssen R.S., The effect of discontinuities in density and shear velocity on the asymptotic overtone structure of tortional eigenfrequencies of the Earth. Geophys. J.R. astr. Soc. 50 (1997), 303-309.

[7] Lapwood F.R. and Usami T., Free Oscilations of the Earth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.

[8] Hald O.H., Discontinuous inverse eigenvalue problems. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), 539-577.

[9] Constantin A., On the inverse spectral problem for the Camassa-Holm equation. J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), no. 2, 352-363.

[10] Gasymov M.G. Determination of Sturm-Liouville equation with a singular point from two spectra. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 161 (1965), 274-276; transl. in Sov. Math. Dokl. 6(1965), 396-399.

[11] Zhornitskaya L.A. and Serov V.S., Inverse eigenvalue problems for a singular Sturm-Liouville operator on (0,1). Inverse Problems 10 (1994), no.4, 975-987.

[12] Yurko V.A., Inverse problem for differential equations with a singularity. Differen. Uravneniya 28 (1992), 1355-1362; English transl. in Differential Equations 28 (1992), 1100-1107.

[13] Yurko V.A., On higher-order differential operators with a singular point. Inverse Problems 9 (1993), 495-502.

[14] Yurko V.A., On higher-order differential operators with a regular singularity. Mat. Sb. 186 (1995), no.6, 133-160; English transl. in Sbornik; Mathematics 186 (1995), no.6, 901-928.

[15] Yurko V.A., Integral transforms connected with differential operators having singularities inside the interval. Integral Transforms and Special Functions 5 (1997), no.3-4, 309-322.

[16] Gorbunov O. Inverse problem for Dirac operators with non-integrable singularities inside the interval. PhD Thesis. Saratov University, Saratov, Russia, 2003.

Name: Yurko, Vjacheslav
Place of work: Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University
Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia
E-mail: yurkova@info.sgu.ru
Name: Gorbunov, Oleg
Place of work: Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University
Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia
E-mail: gorbunovob@info.sgu.ru

Name: Shieh, Chung-Tsun
Place of work: Tamkang University
Taiwan
E-mail: ctshieh@mail.tku.edu.tw